G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902.
(P.J.G.)

P. 12

File No. 1—02.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4.

~~Papers Filed.~~

Month of August 1902.

SUBJECT.

Memorial from prisoner Dandoji Rao against the order passed by the Chief Court.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Tradesmen V. B. Theemmanna. Communicated to

1. No. of Case.

Prisoner. Doudoya Rao



Criminal Case No. 433 of 1901-02

on the file of the ~~City Class~~ Magistrate, Bangalore.

2. Court or Courts which fried it.

Bangalore Sessions Case No. 1706 of 1901-02 and Criminal Appeal Case No. 253 of 1901-02 on the file of the Chief Court ~~City Class~~ Magistrate's Court, Bangalore ~~District~~ and the ~~Sessions~~ Chief Court of ~~the~~ Mysore ~~Division~~.

3. Nature of sentence originally passed and confirmed or altered in appeal.

Sentenced to 7 years rigorous imprisonment by the Chief Court of the appeal of the prisoner was rejected in appeal.

4. Date of sentence.

1st March 1902

Submitted for orders.

22/3/02

The Memorialist was convicted three previous convictions against him in one of which he had been sentenced to 5 years' rigorous imprisonment has been found guilty of theft in a building

Before a Police

The Petitioner has been caught [illegible] red handed with the stolen property. The 4th witness caught [illegible] running away [illegible] bringing the [illegible] and as he [illegible] from his hands [illegible] he raised a hue and cry & pursued him and the Petitioner was arrested by a Police Sergeant with the aid of another. The plea set up by him even if true is not inconsistent with his guilt.

Submitted for orders

24/7/07

The petition which deserves to be rejected is submitted to the Dewan.

24/7

12.

3

In the Matter of a Memorial presented by Dhondoji Row accused in Bangalore Sessions Case No. 17 of 1901. 2 and Criminal Appeal Case No 253 of 1901. 2 on the file of the Chief Court of Mysore, praying that the sentence of 7 years rigorous imprisonment passed upon him may be set aside and that he may be released.

Her Highness the Maharani-Regent

has been pleased to pass the following

Order.

The memorialist is an old offender - and has been convicted for the third time and fourth time and of theft. Nothing is mentioned by him which calls for an interference with the ordinary course of law - His memorial is rejected

Kempenanjammanni

25. VII 02

3-8-02

Rd 11-8-02

RD
6. 8. 02

(General Serial No. 30.)

No. 810. ~~189~~ 1902-03

21 JUL 1902 D.96

SECTION P.12

1-02 To

SERIAL 1

From The Registrar of the Chief Court, Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 18th

Despatched 19th July 1902

Received

Enclosures No. 3 with heads

General No. 450

No. 20

Forwards for orders a memorial addressed to H.H. the Maharani Regent by Bandoji Rao accused in Bangalore Sessions case No. 17 of 1901-02 & Appellant in Criminal Appeal No. 355 of 1901-02 on the file of the Court.

7/21/7

Submitted with note

Sk
22/7

No 450 Jus: 3
20 with papers

Bangalore 18th July 1902.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From

V. A. Rajaratna Mudr. Esqre:
Registrar of the Chief Court
of Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre: M.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Genl: & Rev: Departments.

Sir,

I am directed to forward a Memorial (with an English translation) addressed to H. H. the Maharani Regent by Dondoji Row, accused in Bangalore Sessions Case No. 17 of 1901-02 and Appellant in Criminal Appeal No. 253 of 1901-02 on the file of this Court.

The entire record of the case is herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V A Rajaratna Mudr
Registrar

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Enclosure to Sl /

To

His Highness the Maharaja
Regent of Mysore

Memorial submitted by Thandrie
Das, convict in the Central Jail Bangalore
against the Judgment passed by the
Chief Court in Criml: appeal No: 253
of 1907/2 — is as follows: —

I did not commit the offence
of theft — The Police arrested me on the
ground that I had been thrice convicted
before, fabricated evidence and conspired
this false case and thus got me unjustly
convicted —

Though I preferred an appeal in
the Chief Court, the conviction has been
upheld — I am an innocent man —
I pray that the record can may be
enquired into after perusal of the record
and order my release —

Though I proved my innocence
by the Evidence of my witnesses, the Court
did not believe it but relied on the Evidence

4

I the Police have brought the case through Fiat and unjustly convicted me - Since & this the same Police Officer had got me unjustly convicted - After my release from Jail he arrested me on mere suspicion & has thus done injustice - I pray that Your Highness will kindly consider my case & protect me by ordering my release, being a poor man of children -

Mark of Thumb Impression

14th July 1902

Emulator

P.T.O.

6

Ich Julijan

Markof
Diakonisse

7

Enclosure to S/1.

In the Chief Court of Mysore at Bangalore

Saturday the 1st day of March 1902.

Present

Hon'ble Justice J. W. Beatty Chief Judge

Hon'ble Justice T. Narahari Row, Judge

Petition of Criminal appeal No. 253 of 1901-02. against the conviction and sentence by Hon'ble Justice S. Rangaswami Iyengar Judge of this Court, under sections 380 and 75 of the Indian Penal Code in Bangalore Sessions case No. 17 of 1901-02.

(Appellant Dandoji Row (accused))

Order

The verdict of a Jury is not open to appeal.

Petition dismissed by B.S.

The Petition is rejected

"A true Copy"

(S. Rajatadri Iyengar)

Registrar

Draft

SECTION P&J
No. 1-02
SER 1 - 4 - No.

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of
Mysore

Dated 16th August 1902
No 2409
J201

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for the information of the judges and for communication to the prisoner Dhondoji Rao, now undergoing sentence of 7 years rigorous imprisonment in the Bangalore Central jail, copy of order passed by Her Highness the Maharani Regent on the prisoner's memorial rejecting the same.

2. The entire record

Received with your letter No 450/20 dated 18th July 1902 are herewith returned.

I have etc

For Secretary